

Political Unity the Only Way to Resist Evil Forces: Mirza Fakhrul

Introduction

Political unity has emerged as a central theme in contemporary democratic movements across many countries facing governance challenges, political polarization, and social unrest. In this context, Bangladesh Nationalist Party (BNP) Secretary General **Mirza Fakhrul Islam Alamgir** has emphasized that **political unity is the only effective way to resist what he described as “evil forces” undermining democracy, freedom, and justice**. His remarks reflect broader concerns about political fragmentation, authoritarian tendencies, and the weakening of democratic institutions.

Mirza Fakhrul’s call has sparked renewed debate over the role of unity among opposition parties, civil society, and citizens in confronting political crises. This article explores the significance of his statement, the political context behind it, and why unity is increasingly seen as a strategic necessity rather than a choice.

Mirza Fakhrul’s Call for Political Unity

Mirza Fakhrul has consistently argued that divided political forces cannot successfully challenge entrenched power structures. According to him, **fragmentation within democratic and opposition groups only strengthens undemocratic actors**, allowing corruption, repression, and injustice to thrive.

He warned that without unity, efforts to restore democratic norms would remain ineffective. His message was not limited to political parties alone; it extended to professionals, students, workers, and ordinary citizens who believe in democratic values.

Key points emphasized by Mirza Fakhrul include:

- Democracy cannot survive without collective resistance.
- Authoritarian forces benefit from political division.

- National interest must come before party interest.
 - Peaceful, united movements are essential for sustainable change.
-

Understanding the “Evil Forces” in Political Discourse

The term “evil forces” in political language often refers to **systems or actors that undermine democratic governance**, including:

- Suppression of free and fair elections
- Restrictions on freedom of speech and media
- Politicization of state institutions
- Human rights violations
- Corruption and lack of accountability

Mirza Fakhrul’s use of the phrase reflects concerns shared by many political analysts who argue that democracy weakens when opposition voices are silenced or divided. In such an environment, unity becomes a defensive mechanism to protect democratic space.

Why Political Unity Matters in a Democracy

Political unity does not mean the elimination of ideological differences. Rather, it means **cooperation on shared democratic goals**, such as:

- Fair elections
- Rule of law
- Independent judiciary
- Freedom of expression
- Transparent governance

When political actors unite around these principles, they create a stronger, more credible platform capable of mobilizing public support and influencing national discourse.

Benefits of Political Unity

- 1. Stronger Public Mobilization**
Unified movements are more likely to gain widespread public trust and participation.
 - 2. Increased Pressure for Reform**
Governments are more responsive when faced with collective demands rather than isolated voices.
 - 3. Reduced Political Polarization**
Unity around democratic values can lower tensions and encourage peaceful engagement.
 - 4. Protection of Institutions**
A united front can better defend institutions from political manipulation.
-

Challenges to Achieving Political Unity

Despite its importance, political unity remains difficult to achieve due to:

- Ideological differences among parties
- Leadership rivalries
- Historical mistrust
- Conflicting political strategies

Mirza Fakhrul acknowledged these challenges but argued that **the cost of disunity is far greater than the discomfort of compromise**. He stressed that unity does not require surrendering identity but demands prioritizing national interests.

The Role of Opposition Parties

Opposition parties play a crucial role in maintaining democratic balance. According to Mirza Fakhrul, opposition groups must:

- Engage in dialogue rather than confrontation
- Coordinate peaceful political programs
- Avoid actions that weaken democratic legitimacy

He emphasized that unity among opposition forces can help restore public confidence in political processes and encourage constructive engagement.

Civil Society and Citizen Participation

Political unity extends beyond parties to include:

- Journalists
- Lawyers
- Academics
- Students
- Labor groups

Mirza Fakhrul highlighted that **democracy cannot be defended by politicians alone**. Active citizen participation strengthens movements for justice and accountability and ensures that political unity reflects genuine public aspirations.

Peaceful Movements as a Strategic Choice

A key aspect of Mirza Fakhrul's message is the emphasis on **peaceful and democratic resistance**. He rejected violence and instability, stating that lasting political change can only be achieved through lawful and nonviolent means.

Peaceful unity:

- Preserves moral legitimacy
 - Prevents social chaos
 - Attracts international attention and support
 - Encourages long-term democratic reform
-

Implications for the Future of Democracy

Mirza Fakhrul's remarks suggest that the future of democracy depends on **collective responsibility**. If political actors continue to operate in isolation, democratic decline may deepen. However, if unity is achieved around shared values, it can open the door to reform, reconciliation, and stability.

His call serves as both a warning and an opportunity—warning of the dangers of disunity and offering unity as a path forward.